			Scoring Criteria Guidance							
	STANDARDS	Weight	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)			
1	Does the applicant have sufficient revenues and a fee structure to operate and maintain the harbor facility in the future without state aid? [Ref: AS 29.60.820(c)(1)] See Block 13	5	Project has solid financial plan and preventive maintenance plan with secure source of revenues for facility operation and maintenance.	N/A	Project has marginally acceptable financial plan and preventive maintenance plan with identified source of revenues for facility operation and maintenance.	N/A	Financial or preventive maintenance plan is incomplete. Plans could be dependent on pending actions/decisions.			
2	Safety or Emergency factors - Primary purpose is to improve operational safety, to respond to an emergency situation or a change in safety guidelines (for example, ADA or electrical code compliance). [Ref: AS 29.60.820(c)(2)] See Block 14	5	Project improves critical safety features and/or corrects hazards and deficiencies; or project is considered an emergency and is required to bring the existing facility up to minimum safety standards; or project is urgently needed to preserve an existing facility in imminent risk of failure.	N/A	Reduces potential hazards, corrects a situation which could become a safety hazard, or preserves an existing facility that is expected to fail in near future.	N/A	No impact on safety or potential loss of facility.			
3	Tier I applicants only. Annual average amount spent on maintenance of the harbor facility by the applicant. Harbor facility replacement cost will be determined by DOT&PF. [Ref: AS 29.60.820(c)(3)] See Block 15	5	Equal or greater than 5% of harbor facility replacement cost	Equal or greater than 3% and less than 5% of harbor facility replacement cost	Equal or greater than 1% and less than 3% of harbor facility replacement cost	Less than 1% of harbor facility replacement cost	Zero funds spent on maintenance			
4	Other options that would reduce or eliminate the need for the proposed project. [Ref: AS 29.60.820(c)(4)] See Block 16	5	Applicant determined a preferred engineering alternative as part of a comprehensive harbor plan or engineering report.	N/A	Applicant considered some alternative means of achieving project goals as part of an engineering report. Project replaces in-kind an existing harbor.	N/A	No alternatives were considered in the formulation o the project.			

	STANDARDS	Weight	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
5	Project serves the best public interest -Each Harbor Panel member rates the one project which	5	Serves best public interest.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	serves the best public interest with a rating of "5", all other projects receive a rating of "0".						
	[Ref: AS 29.60.820(c)(5)]						
6	Maintenance - Reduces maintenance costs or addresses preventive maintenance needs		Harbor facility needing major repair or maintenance that substantially reduces maintenance costs to local government.	Harbor facility needing major repair or maintenance that moderately reduces maintenance costs to local government.	Project does not increase or reduce maintenance costs to local government.	Project results in moderate increase in maintenance costs to local government. There may be offsetting economic or safety benefits.	Project results in significant increase in local government maintenance costs without offsetting economic or safety benefits.
7	Operational Importance -Harbor component to be repaired or rehabilitated as related to function.	3	Repair or replacement of deficient harbor facility components of a safety or emergency nature.	Components critical to operation of harbor facility such as pilings, floats, approach structures, and safety lighting.	Improvements to components that significantly change function or provide more capacity, including new construction.	Moderately important components such as launch ramps, grids, water and sewer utilities. Improvements result in limited functional change or moorage capacity.	Upland facilities and other appurtenances necessary for the basic harbor operation. Improvements that do not change the function or do not increase moorage capacity.
8	Importance of the applicant's harbor facility	3	Applicant has one existing harbor facility. It is not connected by road to another community.	Applicant has one harbor facility. There is a road connection to another community with one or more harbor facilities.	Applicant has more than one harbor facility but the community is not connected by road to another community.	Applicant has one or more harbor facilities. There is a road connection to another community with one or more harbor facilities.	Application is for construction of a new harbor.
9	Tier I applications shall be scored ahead of Tier II applications [Ref AS 29.60.820(b)]	20	This is a Tier I application	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	Local resolution of support	1	Applicant has a resolution of support for the project. Resolution must be signed and dated before the application deadline.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Total Weight 56